

PATENT Docket RD-28,329-2

### IN THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Applicant: Baorui Ren et al.

Serial No. 10/613,061

Group Art Unit: 2882

Filed: July 2, 2003

Examiner: Elizabeth Marie Keaney

For:

IMAGING ARRAY AND METHODS

FOR FABRICATING SAME

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

#### **TRANSMITTAL**

Transmitted herewith is:
 Transmittal (3 pages); Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages); Postcard

#### **STATUS**

2.	Applicant	
		claims small entity status.
	$\boxtimes$	is other than a small entity.

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Express Mail No. EV593390928US

Date: March 17, 2005

I hereby certify that the documents listed above are being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. §1.10 on the date indicated above in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop AMENDMENT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Robert B. Reeser III Reg. No. 45,548

11

# **EXTENSION OF TERM**

3.	The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. 1.136 apply.									
		(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)								
	(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 (Fees: 37 C.F.R. 1.17(a)-(d) for the total number of months checked below:)									
	Extension for response within:	Small entity Fee (if applicable)								
	first month	\$ 120.00	\$ 60.00							
	second month	\$ 450.00	\$ 225.00							
	third month	\$ 1,020.00	\$ 510.00							
	fourth month	\$1,590.00	\$ 795.00							
	fifth month	\$2,160.00	\$1,080.00							
	· .	Fee:	\$							
If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.										
(Check and complete the next item, if applicable)										
An extension of months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.										
Extension fee due with this request \$										
		OR								
(b) X Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.										

# FEE FOR CLAIMS

4.	The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:										
	(C	ol. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY		OTHER THAN SMALL ENTITY			
		AIMS		(/	, ,						
	Al	AINING FTER IDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	ADDITIONAL. RATE FEE	OR	ADDITIONAL RATE FEE			
TOTAL			MINUS		=	x \$25.00 = \$		x \$50.00 = \$			
INDEP.			MINUS		=	x \$100.00 = \$		x \$200.00 = \$			
-	FIRS	T PRESEN	TATION OF	MULTIPLE DEP.	CLAIM	+ \$180.00 = \$		+ \$360.00 = \$			
L	<del></del>					TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE \$	OR	TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE \$			
(a) No additional fee for Claims is required											
					OR						
	(b)		Total a	dditional fee	for claims	required \$					
		•		FE)	E PAYME	NT					
5.		Attach	ed is a c	heck in the s	um of \$						
	Charge Deposit Account No. 01-2384 the sum of \$ A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.										
		FEE DEFICIENCY									
6.	6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Deposit Account N 01-2384.										
	AND/OR										
	$\boxtimes$	If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Deposit Account No. 01-2384.									
7.		Other:									
						bert B. Reeser, III	ス				
						g. No. 45,548	/ T T A T T				
						MSTRONG TEASI e Metropolitan Squa					
						e Metropontan Squa Louis, MO 63102	ш <b>с,</b> В	III.O 2000			
						1-621-5070					



### IN THE UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Applicant: Baorui Ren et al.

Serial No. 10/613,061 : Group Art Unit: 2882

Filed: July 2, 2003 : Examiner: Elizabeth Marie Keaney

For: IMAGING ARRAY AND METHODS

FOR FABRICATING SAME

## **RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT**

Mail Stop AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

The Office Action mailed March 10, 2005, has been carefully reviewed and the following amendment has been made in consequence thereof. In response to the election requirement set forth in the Office Action, Applicants elect for prosecution in this application all claims of Group I as identified in the Office Action. Claims 1-20 are in the elected claim group.

The restriction requirement is traversed because the inventions set out by the claims in Groups I and II clearly are related. It is believed that a thorough search and examination of either claim group would be relevant to the examination of the other group. In addition, requirements for restriction are not mandatory under 35 U.S.C. Accordingly, reconsideration of the restriction requirement is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert B. Reeser 11 Reg. No. 45 548

Armstrong Teasdale LLP

One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600

St. Louis, MO 63012

(314) 621-5070